

52



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,063	05/22/2000	Daisuke Terasawa	PA000307	2817

23696 7590 04/15/2004

Qualcomm Incorporated
Patents Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

EXAMINER

MEHRA, INDER P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2666

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/576,063

Applicant(s)

TERASAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Inder P Mehra

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2000.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to an amendment A dated 1/24/04 which has been fully considered and made of record. Based on this amendment, claims 1-2, 5, 7-9, and 11 have been amended. Claim 10 has been cancelled. Claims 1-9 and 11 are now pending. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being by **Teder et al** (US Patent No. 5,828,659), hereinafter, Teder.

For claim 1-2, 7, 9 and 11, Teder discloses, in reference to figs. 2-5, a communication system, a method for informing a mobile station of a downlink data frame time offset, refer to abstract, and col. 1 lines 8-15, comprising:

- determining said downlink data frame time offset, as taught by claims 1, 9 and 11, refer to “traffic channel offset” in fig. 3, refer to col. 8 line 60 to col. 7 line 2, col. 9 lines 12-16, col. 10 lines 7-35, and col. 17 lines 13-15;

Art Unit: 2666

- transmitting ---time offset from a cell to said mobile station, as taught by claims 1, 7, 9 and 11, refer to figs. 1, 2, and 3 (step S5), refer to col. 4 lines 47-51 and col. 14 lines 31-35.
- the downlink data frame offset is included in an Active Set Update message” and -----WCDMA, as taught by claims 1-2, 7, 9 and 11 refer to col. 7 lines 54-56;
- a receiver (60 in fig. 6)----receive a downlink data frame time offset -----to a mobile station, as taught by claims 7 and 11, refer to col. 4 lines 35-57;
- a time offset block for adjusting data symbol timing ---time offset, as taught by claims 7 and 11, refer to col. 4 lines 35-57;

For claim 3, Teder discloses :

- receiving a time offset measured by the mobile station, refer to step S3 in fig. 4, and refer to col. 4 lines 24-26;
- wherein the determining the downlink data frame time off set ----by the mobile station, refer to “traffic channel offset” in fig. 3, refer to col. 8 line 60 to col. 7 line 2, col. 9 lines 12-16, col. 10 lines 7-35, and col. 17 lines 13-15;

For claim 4, Teder discloses,

- the receiving the time offset is performed via a measurement report message --- by mobile station, refer to step S3 of fig. 4, col. 4 lines 30-32 and col. 7 lines 67 to col. 8 lines 8.

For claim 5, Teder discloses:

- adjusting timing of a time offset adjuster in the mobile -----for a soft combining operation, refer to "update time offset", col. 4 lines 35-57, col. 4 lines 65-67 and col. 5 lines 40-50.

For claim 6, Tedet discloses:

- soft, refer to col. 6 lines 40-42, combining the corresponding data symbols, refer to col. 5 line 45 and col. 12 lines 12-13.

For claim 8, Teder discloses, in reference to fig. 6:

- receiver (60, 62A and 62B in fig. 6) and the time offset block (63 in fig. 6)----- mobile station, refer to col. 11 lines 30-47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Teder et al** (US Patent No. 5,828,659), hereinafter, Teder, as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of **Kokko et al** (US Patent No. 6,005, 852), hereinafter, Kokko.

Art Unit: 2666

For claim 10, Teder discloses all the limitations of subject matter of claim 10, including base station and cell (cellular system), refer to fig. 2, with the exception of base station controller (BSC);

Kokko discloses BSC and Base station and cell in fig. 1, refer to col. 7 lines 5-10.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capability of BSC, as taught by KOKKO. The capability can be implemented by combining the BSC with Base station in a cell. The suggestion/motivation to do so would have been to optimize the load of the system over several cells and synchronize the traffic channels of base stations during soft handoff.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. Applicant argues that there does not exist any recitation or suggestion of transmitting the downlink data frame time offset information from a cell to the mobile station, and such information is included in Active Set update message from the cell to the mobile station

In response, it is stated that Teder et al discloses explicitly, refer to fig. 5, report T sub. m (measured offset, refer to col. 8 lines 45-50) reported to mobile station, refer to col. 4 lines 35-57. Further, Teder discloses "the offset of the traffic channel can be updated , refer to col. 4 lines 55-57. Teder, further discloses explicitly, "the present invention

Art Unit: 2666

measures the time difference (time offset) of the ---base stations' signals—and two signals originate from BS to mobile station, refer to col. 6 lines 60-col. 7 line 5.

In the light of above explanation, arguments by applicant are not persuasive.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

9. Any enquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Inder Mehra whose telephone number is (703) 305-1985. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao , can be reached on (703) 308-5463. Any enquiry of a general nature of relating to the status

Art Unit: 2666

of this application or processing should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to (703) 872-9314.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive,
Arlington, VA, sixth floor (Receptionist).

Inder Mehra

Inder Mehra

April 12, 2004



DANGTON
PATENT & TRADEMARK